## Exhibit 2

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11558

Claimant: KAUFMAN S DEPARTMENT STORE,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☑ Category 1 Claim: ☐ Category 1 Comments:	
16. Documents relating to the purchase and/	or installation of the product in the property
☐ No documents were pro	vided.
☐ Documents provided are	e insufficient because:
18. Documents concerning when the claimar	nt first knew of the presence of asbestos in
the property. $\Box$ No documents were pro	vided.
☑ Documents provided are	e insufficient because:
they fail ti indicate either expressly or fr when the claimant first knew of the pre	rom the nature or context of the document, sence of asbestos in the property.
22. Documents concerning efforts to remove  ☑ No documents were pro	vided.
$\Box$ Documents provided are	e insufficient because:
26. Documents concerning testing or sampling	ng for asbestos in the property.
☐ No documents were pro	vided.
Documents provided are	e insufficient because:
building air sample results were not inc	iluđed.

10/17/2005 EMCID Rev?a